arXiv:1607.04859v2 [math.AP] 29 Jul 2016

# First Passage Time Densities through Hölder curves

Jimyeong Lee*

Gran Sasso Science Institute, Viale Francesco Crispi, 7, 67100, L'Aquila, Italy

August 1, 2016

**Abstract**

We prove that for a standard Brownian motion, there exists a first-passage-time density function through a locally Hölder continuous curve with exponent greater than 1/2. By using a property of local time of a standard Brownian motion and the theories of partial differential equations in Cannon [2], we find a sufficient condition for existence of the density function. We also show that this density function is proportional to the space derivative of the Green function of the heat equation with Dirichlet boundary condition at the moving boundary.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we will study the probability of the hitting time to a moving boundary. To state the main result, we need some notations on a Brownian motion. Let us call $P_{r,s}, r \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$, the law on $C([s,\infty))$ of the Brownian motion $B_t$, $t \geq s$, which starts from $r$ at time $s$, i.e. $B_s = r$. For each $t > s$ the law of $B_t$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and has a density $G_{s,t}(r,\cdot)$ which is the Gaussian $G(\cdot,t;r,s) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} \exp\left(-\dfrac{(\cdot - r)^2}{2(t-s)}\right)$. We denote by $E_{r,s}$ the expectation under $P_{r,s}$. For a given curve $X = \{t \to X_t\}$, $s \geq 0$ and $r < X_s$, we define

$$\tau^X_{r,s} = \inf\{t \geq s : B_t \geq X_t\}, \ and = \infty \text{ if the set is empty}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $B_s = r$ and denote by $F^X_{r,s}(dt)$ the distribution of $\tau^X_{r,s}$ induced by $P_{r,s}$. For s=0, we use abbreviated forms $P_r$, $E_r$, $\tau^X_r$, $F^X_r(dx)$ instead of $P_{r,0}$, $E_{r,0}$, $\tau^X_{r,0}$, $F^X_{r,0}(dx)$ respectively whenever it is needed. In addition, for $t > 0$, let us call $d\mu_{r_0}(\cdot,t)$ the positive measure on $(-\infty, X_t)$ such that

$$\int_{(-\infty,X_t)} d\mu_{r_0}(x,t) f(x) = E_{r_0}[f(B_t); \tau^X_{r_0} > t] \tag{1.2}$$

for all $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \Subset (-\infty, X_t)$. The main result in the paper is;

*E-mail: jimyeong.lee@gssi.infn.it

**Theorem 1** *If $X$ is locally Hölder continuous in $[0,\infty)$ with exponent $\gamma \in (1/2, 1]$ and $r_0 < X_0$, then*

1. *$d\mu_{r_0}(x,t) = G^X_{0,t}(r_0,x)dx$ where for all $x < X_t$,*

$$G^X_{0,t}(r_0,x) = G_{0,t}(r_0,x) - \int_{[0,t)} F^X_{r_0}(ds)G_{s,t}(X_s,x). \tag{1.3}$$

2. *$F^X_{r_0}(ds)$ has a density function $p$ on $[0,\infty)$, namely $F^X_{r_0}(ds) = p(s)ds$.*

3. *$p(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}G^X_{0,t}(r_0,x)\Big|_{x=X_t^-}$ for all $t > 0$.*

4. *$G^X_{0,t}(r_0,x)$ solves*

$$v_t = \frac{1}{2}v_{xx}, \quad -\infty < x < X_t, \ t > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to(X_s,s)} v(x,t) = 0, \ s > 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to(y,0)} v(x,t) = \delta_{r_0}(y), \ -\infty < y < X_0. \tag{1.6}$$

**Remarks**

Item 4 of **Theorem 1** states that for any $r_0 < X_0$ the function $G^X_{0,\cdot}(r_0,\cdot)$ given by (1.3) is the Green function of the heat equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the moving boundary $X$. In [7], when a moving boundary is infinitely differentiable, it is showed that the space derivative of the Green function of the heat equation at the boundary is proportional to the hitting time density function. Likewise by items 2 and 3 of **Theorem 1**, the space derivative of $G^X_{0,\cdot}(r_0,\cdot)$ at the moving boundary is proportional to the hitting time density function $p$.

For the case when $X_t = a + bt$, it is well known(see for instance [3]) that for $r < a$, $\tau^X_r$ has a probability density function given by $f(t) = \dfrac{a-r}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}}\exp\left(-\dfrac{(a+bt-r)^2}{2t}\right)\mathbf{1}_{t>0}$. In addition, there is another result in [1] when $X_t = a + bt^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p \geq 2$, $r < a$, then $\tau^X_r$ has a probability density function.

In [4], it is proved that for any continuous curve $X_t$ and $r < X_0$, there is a distribution $F^X_r$ of $\tau^X_r$ which satisfies the following integral equation(called the Master Equation):

$$\Psi\left(\frac{z-r}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \int_0^t \Psi\left(\frac{z-X_s}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right)F^X_r(ds), \tag{1.7}$$

where $z \geq X_t$, $t > 0$ and $\Psi(z) = \displaystyle\int_z^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)dx$. This can be proved intuitively as follows; the left hand side of (1.7) is the probability that a Brownian motion starts at $r$ at time 0 and reaches $z$ greater or equal to $X_t$ at time $t$. Then it should hit the boundary at least once which implies the right hand side of of (1.7). Moreover, it is showed that if $X_t$ is $C^1$, then there exists a continuous density function $f$ of $F^X_r$. There is an extension, in [1], to curves $X$ which are differentiable with $\left|\dfrac{dX_t}{dt}\right| \leq Ct^{-\alpha}$ for some constant $C > 0$ and $\alpha < 1/2$, then $F^X_r$ has a probability density function.

By (1.7), for any continuous curve $X_t$ and $r < X_0$, we have

$$\Psi\left(\frac{X_t - r}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \int_0^t \Psi\left(\frac{X_t - X_s}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right) F_r^X(ds). \tag{1.8}$$

which can be regarded as an integral equation for $F_r^X(ds)$. In [6], it is studied the equation (1.8) when $X$ is Hölder continuous with exponent greater than $1/2$, it is proved that there exists a unique continuous function $q$ such that

$$\Psi\left(\frac{X_t - r}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \int_0^t \Psi\left(\frac{X_t - X_s}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right) q(s)ds. \tag{1.9}$$

To conclude that $F_r^X(ds) = q(s)ds$, one still needs that $F_r^X(ds)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. The analysis in [6] as well as the proof of **Theorem 1** uses extensively the work by Cannon [2] on the heat equation with the moving boundary.

## 2 Outline of the proof of Theorem 1

Let $X$ be a continuous curve defined on $[0, \infty)$ and let $r_0 < X_0$. Using the strong Markov property, we have, for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \Subset (-\infty, X_t)$ and $0 \le s \le t$,

$$E_{r_0}[f(B_t)|\tau_{r_0}^X = s] = E_{X_s,s}[f(B_t)]. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus we get

$$E_{r_0}[f(B_t); \tau_{r_0}^X \le t] = \int_{[0,t]} F_{r_0}^X(ds) E_{X_s,s}[f(B_t)]. \tag{2.2}$$

It follows that item 1 of **Theorem 1** holds with $G_{0,t}^X(r_0, x)$ given by (1.3).
To show the other items of **Theorem 1**, we define $D$ as

$$D := \{(x,t) : -\infty < x < X_t,\ t > 0\}$$

and

$$u(x,t) := \int_{-\infty}^0 h(\xi) G_{0,t}^X(\xi, x) d\xi \tag{2.3}$$

for given $h \in C_c^\infty((-\infty, X_0); \mathbb{R}_+)$ and all $(x,t) \in D$.
If $X$ is locally Hölder continuous in $[0, \infty)$ with exponent $\gamma \in [1/2, 1]$, then $u$ solves the heat equation in $D$ with the initial condition $h$ and Dirichlet boundary condition.(See **Theorem 2**.) However, in general, $u$ is not a unique solution of the heat equation with the initial condition $h$ and Dirichlet boundary condition.(See **Remark 1 and 2** below)
To have the uniqueness, first of all, we restrict time variable of $D$ in a finite interval. Thus we fix $T > 0$ and define the parabolic cylinder $D_T$ which is a subset of $D$ as

$$D_T := \{(x,t) : -\infty < x < X_t,\ 0 < t \le T\}.$$

Consider the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} v \in C(\overline{D_T}) \cap C^{2,1}(D_T), \\ v_t = \dfrac{1}{2} v_{xx}, \quad (x,t) \in D_T, \\ v(X_t, t) = 0, \ 0 < t \leq T, \\ v(x,0) = h(x), \ -\infty < x < X_0, \\ \lim\limits_{x \to -\infty} \sup\limits_{0<t<T} |v(x,t)| = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

We prove the following weaker form of **Theorem 1** in **Section 3**.

**Theorem 2** *Let $X$ be a locally Hölder continuous curve in $[0,\infty)$ with exponent $\gamma \in [1/2, 1]$ and let $X_0 = 0$.*

1. *The function $u$ defined in (2.3) is the unique solution of (2.4).*

2. *If $\gamma \in (1/2, 1]$, then $u$ has the left hand derivative at the boundary $u_x(X_t^-, t)$ which is continuous on $(0,\infty)$. Moreover, $p_h(t) := -\dfrac{1}{2} u_x(X_t^-, t)$ satisfies*

$$p_h(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi) G_x(X_t, t; \xi, 0) d\xi + \int_0^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau) p_h(\tau) d\tau \ \text{ for all } t > 0 \tag{2.5}$$

**Remark 1** *The uniqueness for (2.4) is not guaranteed if we do not assume $v \in C(\overline{D_T})$. When $X_t = 0$ for all $t$ and $h$ is identically $0$, if $v(x,t)$ is given by $\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left\{ -\dfrac{x}{t} \right\} \exp\left( -\dfrac{x^2}{2t} \right)$, then this satisfies the heat equation with the initial data $0$ and is also $0$ on the boundary, but this is not continuous at $(0,0)$.*

**Remark 2** *There is another nontrivial solution when $X_t = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, the initial data and the boundary condition are all $0$, then the function*

$$v(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}\left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!},$$

*where*

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left( -\dfrac{1}{t^2} \right), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

*satisfies the heat equation with the initial data $0$ and is also $0$ on the boundary. Furthermore, $v \in C(\overline{D_T}) \cap C^{2,1}(D_T)$. Thus we need the condition $\lim\limits_{x \to -\infty} \sup\limits_{0<t<T} |v(x,t)| = 0$ to have the uniqueness.*

**Remark 3** *It can be shown $\lim\limits_{t \to 0} p_h(t) = 0$ by (2.5) and **Lemma** 3 so that $u_x(X_t^-, t)$ is continuous on $[0,\infty)$.*

By approximating the initial delta measure of **Theorem 1**, in **Section 4**, we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** *Let $X$ be a locally Hölder continuous curve in $[0,\infty)$ with exponent $\gamma \in (1/2,1]$ and let $X_0 = 0$. We fix $r_0 < X_0 = 0$ and choose a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R}_+)$ with supp $h_n = [r_0 - \frac{1}{n}, r_0 + \frac{1}{n}] \Subset (-\infty, 0)$ and $\|h_n\|_1 = 1$. For each $h_n$, there exist a corresponding solution $u_n$ with $-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x}\Big|_{(X_t^-,t)} =: p_n(t)$ in the sense of **Theorem 2**. Then we have the following statements:*

1. *There is a unique $p \in C([0,\infty))$ with $p(0) = 0$ such that*

$$p(t) = -G_x(X_t, t; r_0, 0) + \int_0^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau)p(\tau)d\tau \ \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

2. *$p_n$ converges to $p$ in $C^0_{(\eta)}((0,T])$ for all $0 < \eta < 1/2$.*

Finally, we show that $p$ is the density function **Section 4** and conclude **Theorem 1** in **Section 5**.

# 3 Proof of of Theorem 2

Following [2], we introduce $C^0_{(\nu)}((0,T])$, $0 < \nu \le 1$, as the subspace of $C((0,T])$ that consists of those functions $\varphi$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_T^{(\nu)} = \sup_{0<t\le T} t^{1-\nu}|\varphi(t)| < \infty.$$

Then $C^0_{(\nu)}((0,T])$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_T^{(\nu)}$. Moreover, we also introduce the following lemmas from [2] which plays an essential role in our analysis.

**Lemma 1 (*jump relation*)** *For $\varphi \in C^0_{(\nu)}((0,T])$, we have*

$$\lim_{x\to X_t^\pm} \frac{\partial w_\varphi}{\partial x}(x,t) = \mp\varphi(t) + \int_0^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau, \tag{3.7}$$

*where $w_\varphi(x,t) = \int_0^t G(x,t;X_\tau,\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau$.*

For two continuous curves $s_1$, $s_2$ such that $s_1(t) < s_2(t), t \in [0,T]$, let us set $E_T := \{(x,t) : s_1(t) < x < s_2(t),\ 0 < t \le T\}$ and $B_T := \{(s_i(t),t) : 0 \le t \le T,\ i \in \{1,2\}\} \cup \{(x,0) : s_1(0) < x < s_2(0)\}$.

**Lemma 2 (*The Weak Maximum(Minimum) Principle*)** *For a solution $u$ of $u_t = \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}$ in $E_T$, which is continuous in $E_T \cup B_T$,*

$$\max_{E_T\cup B_T} u = \max_{B_T} u. \quad \left(\min_{E_T\cup B_T} u = \min_{B_T} u.\right) \tag{3.8}$$

Before going to the proof of **Theorem 2**, we need the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** *Let $X$ be a locally Hölder continuous curve in $[0,\infty)$ with exponent $\gamma \in [1/2,1]$. If the starting point of the Brownian motion is close to $X$, the first hitting time converges to 0. Precisely, $\lim_{\xi \to X_0} P_\xi \left[\tau_\xi^X > s\right] = 0$ for all $s > 0$.*

**Proof** Without loss of generality, we may reduce this problem as the case for Brownian motion starting at 0 and $X_0 = \epsilon > 0$ and let $\epsilon$ go to 0. For $s > 0$, let $m := \sup_{0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le s} \frac{|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|}{|t_2 - t_1|^\gamma}$. Since $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}} = \infty$ a.e., for $M > m$, we have a sequence $t_k \downarrow 0$ such that $M\sqrt{t_k} \le B_{t_k}$ a.e. and $M\sqrt{t_k} - m t_k^\gamma \downarrow 0$ for all $k$. Thus, for $0 < t \le s$, we deduce that a.e.

$$\sup_{0 \le l \le t} \{B_l - X_l\} \ge \sup_{0 \le l \le t} \{B_l - (\epsilon + m l^\gamma)\} \ge \sup_{t_k \le t} \left\{B_{t_k} - (\epsilon + m t_k^\gamma)\right\} \ge \sup_{t_k \le t} \left\{M\sqrt{t_k} - (\epsilon + m t_k^\gamma)\right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$P_0\left(\sup_{0 \le l \le t} \{B_l - X_l\} < 0\right) \le P_0\left(\sup_{t_k \le t} \left\{M\sqrt{t_k} - m t_k^\gamma\right\} < \epsilon\right).$$

For each sufficently small $\epsilon > 0$, there is a greatest $k(\epsilon)$ such that $M\sqrt{t_{k(\epsilon)}} - m t_{k(\epsilon)}^\gamma \ge \epsilon$. Then $k(\epsilon)$ is an increasing function as $\epsilon$ decreases and $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} k(\epsilon) = \infty$, so we obtain that $\tau_0^X \le t_{k(\epsilon)} \le s$ a.e. for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. •

**Proof of Theorem 2**
Let us define $X'_\tau := X_{t-\tau}$ for all $0 \le \tau \le t$. Using the invariance of the law of the Brownian motion under time reversal, we have

$$u(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 h(r') G_{0,t}^X(r', x) dr' = E_x[h(B_t); \tau_x^{X'} > t]. \tag{3.9}$$

Using this equality, we also have

$$|u(x,t)| = \left|E_x[h(B_t); \tau_x^{X'} > t]\right| \le \|h\|_\infty P_x[\tau_x^{X'} > t]. \tag{3.10}$$

For $s > 0$, let us choose $0 < s^* < s$. Then for all $(x,t)$ sufficiently close to $(X_s, s)$, we obtain

$$P_x[\tau_x^{X'} > t] \le P_x[\tau_x^{X'} > s^*] \tag{3.11}$$

which vanishes when $(x,t) \to (X_s, s)$ by **Proposition 2** so that $\lim_{(x,t) \to (X_s,s)} u(x,t) = 0$.
In addition, we have

$$|u(x,t)| = \left|E_x[h(B_t); \tau_x^{X'} > t]\right| \le E_x[|h(B_t)|] = \int_{-\infty}^0 |h(\xi)| G_{0,t}(x,\xi) d\xi \tag{3.12}$$

which also vanishes when $(x,t) \to (0,0)$, since the support of $h$ is strictly away from 0. To prove that $u$ satisfies the initial data $h$, we write $y_t = \min_{s \in [0,t]} X'_s$. For $x < 0$ and any positive $\lambda > 0$,

$$P_x\left[\tau_x^{X'} \le t\right] \le P_x\left[\max_{s \in [0,t]} B_s \ge y_t\right] = P_x\left[\max_{s \in [0,t]} \exp(\lambda B_s) \ge \exp(\lambda y_t)\right]. \tag{3.13}$$

Since the exponential of Brownian motion is a positive submartingale, we can apply Doob's inequality, then

$$P_x\left[\max_{s\in[0,t]}\exp(\lambda B_s)\geq\exp(\lambda y_t)\right]\leq\frac{E_x[\exp(\lambda B_t)]}{\exp(\lambda y_t)}=\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t-\lambda(y_t-x)\right). \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to(y,0)}P_x\left[\tau_x^{X'}\leq t\right]\leq\exp(-\lambda(X_0-y))=\exp(\lambda y) \quad (3.15)$$

so that the left hand side vanishes since $\lambda$ is arbitrary. Thus we obtain that

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to(y,0)}\int_{-\infty}^{0}h(\xi)G_{0,t}^{X}(\xi,x)d\xi=\lim_{(x,t)\to(y,0)}E_x[h(B_t)]=h(y). \quad (3.16)$$

By the properties of the Gaussian kernel, we deduce that $u$ solves (2.4). We now prove uniqueness. If $v_1$, $v_2$ satisfy all the above conditions, then $v_1-v_2\in C(\overline{D_T})\cap C^{2,1}(D_T)$ satisfies the heat equation with the initial data 0 and is also 0 on the boundary. Moreover, $\lim_{x\to-\infty}\sup_{0<t<T}|v_1(x,t)-v_2(x,t)|=0$ so that by the weak maximum(minimum) principle, $v_1-v_2$ is all 0 in $D_T$. Therefore, $v_1=v_2$ so that item 1 is proved.
To prove item 2, assuming that $\gamma\in(1/2,1]$, we define, $(x,t)\in D_T$,

$$v(x,t):=\int_{-\infty}^{0}h(\xi)G(x,t;\xi,0)d\xi+\int_0^t G(x,t;X_\tau,\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau, \quad (3.17)$$

where $\varphi\in C_{(\gamma)}^0((0,T])$ satisfies

$$0=\int_{-\infty}^{0}h(\xi)G(X_t,t;\xi,0)d\xi+\int_0^t G(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau. \quad (3.18)$$

If we apply the Abel inverse operator $A$ defined by

$$(AF)(t)=\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^t\frac{F(\eta)}{(t-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}d\eta$$

on both sides of (3.18), then from Chapter 14 in [2] we have a equivalent Volterra integral equation of the second kind:

$$\varphi(t)=\psi(t)+\int_0^t H(t,\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\psi\in C_{(\gamma)}^0((0,T])$ and $|H(t,\tau)|\leq C(t-\tau)^{2\gamma-2}$. The existence of $\varphi\in C_{(\gamma)}^0((0,T])$ which satisfies (3.19) can be proved similarly to the proof of **Proposition 1** that we will show later. Then $v$ is well-defined and solves (2.4) so that $v=u$ since $u$ is the unique solution of (2.4). By the jump relation, we have

$$u_x(X_t^-,t)=\int_{-\infty}^{0}h(\xi)G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0)d\xi+\varphi(t)+\int_0^t G_x(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau \quad (3.20)$$

so that $u_x(X_t^-,t)\in C_{(\gamma)}^0((0,T])$. Since $T$ is arbitrary, we deduce $u_x(X_t^-,t)$ is continuous on $(0,\infty)$.

To show (2.5), let us fix $(x,t) \in D_T$ and let us define $D_{\epsilon,r}^{(t)} := \{(\xi,\tau) : r < \xi < X_\tau - \epsilon,\ \epsilon < \tau < t - \epsilon\}$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. By the Green's identity, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(u_\xi G - uG_\xi)_\xi - (uG)_\tau = 0 \implies \oint_{\partial D_{\epsilon,r}^{(t)}} \frac{1}{2}(u_\xi G - uG_\xi)d\tau + (uG)d\xi = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

It can be also shown that $\lim_{x\to-\infty} \sup_{0<t<T} |u_x(x,t)| = 0$ by the properties of the Gaussian kernel. Hence we obtain another representation of $u$ by letting $\epsilon \to 0$, $r \to -\infty$,

$$u(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G(x,t;\xi,0)d\xi + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t G(x,t;X_\tau,\tau)u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau. \tag{3.22}$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.22) with respect to x and applying the jump relation, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}u_x(X_t^-,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0)d\xi + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t G_x(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau. \tag{3.23}$$

which implies (2.5). •

# 4 Existence of a density function

From now on, $X$ is locally Hölder continuous in $[0,\infty)$ with exponent $\gamma \in (1/2, 1]$ and $X_0 = 0$. Comparing the definition of $u$ and (3.22), we see the following equality:

$$\int_{[0,t)} G_{\tau,t}(X_\tau, x)\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)F_\xi^X(d\tau)d\xi = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t G(x,t;X_\tau,\tau)u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.24}$$

Set $F_h^X(d\tau) := \displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)F_\xi^X(d\tau)d\xi$. To conclude $F_h^X(d\tau) = -\frac{1}{2}u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau$, we introduce the mass lost $\Delta_I^X(u)$, $I = [t_1,t_2] \subset [0,T]$, $t_1 \le t_2$, is defined by

$$\Delta_I^X(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{X_{t_1}} u(r,t_1)dr - \int_{-\infty}^{X_{t_2}} u(r,t_2)dr. \tag{4.25}$$

If we see the right hand side of (3.22), we can extend $u$ to $\bar{u}$ defined in $\{(x,t) : x \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 < t \le T\}$ as

$$\bar{u}(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G(x,t;\xi,0)d\xi + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t G(x,t;X_\tau,\tau)u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.26}$$

Then this satisfies the heat equation with $\lim_{(x,t)\to(y,0)} \bar{u}(x,t) = 0$ for all $y \ge 0$ and also satisfies $\bar{u}(X_t,t) = 0$ for all $0 < t \le T$. Moreover, by the properties of Gaussian kernel, we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \sup_{0<t<T} |\bar{u}(x,t)| = 0. \tag{4.27}$$

It follows that $\bar{u}(x,t) = 0$ in $\{(x,t) : x \ge X_t, 0 < t \le T\}$ by the weak maximum(minimum) principle. Thus we assume that $u$ is defined $\{(x,t) : x \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 \le t \le T\}$ such that it is 0

in $\{(x,t) : x \geq X_t,\ 0 \leq t \leq T\}$.
Heuristically

$$\Delta_I^X(u) = -\int\int_{t_1}^{t_2} u_t(x,t)dtdx = -\int\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}(x,t)dxdt = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} u_x(X_t^-,t)dt.$$

Since we do not control $u_{xx}$ at the moving boundary, we cannot make this argument rigorously. Thus we use a different approach.

**Proposition 3** $-\frac{1}{2}\int_I u_x(X_t^-,t)dt = \Delta_I^X(u) = F_h^X(I)$.

**Proof** If we integrate both sides of (3.22), then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x,t)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G(x,t;\xi,0)d\xi dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t G(x,t;X_\tau,\tau)u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau dx.$$

Applying Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{X_t} u(x,t)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x,t)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)d\xi + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.28}$$

Thus we get the first equality of the proposition,

$$\Delta_{[t_1,t_2]}^X(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} u_x(X_\tau^-,\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.29}$$

From (1.2) and item 1 of **Theorem 1**, we get

$$P_\xi[\tau_\xi^X > t] = \int_{-\infty}^{X_t} G_{0,t}^X(\xi,x)dx. \tag{4.30}$$

For $0 = t_1 < t_2$, using Fubini's theorem again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_I^X(u) &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{X_{t_2}}\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G_{0,t_2}^X(\xi,x)d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)P_\xi[\tau_\xi^X > t_2]d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)P_\xi[0 \leq \tau_\xi^X \leq t_2]d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

For $0 < t_1 < t_2$, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_I^X(u) &= \int_{-\infty}^{X_{t_1}}\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G_{0,t_1}^X(\xi,x)d\xi dx - \int_{-\infty}^{X_{t_2}}\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)G_{0,t_2}^X(\xi,x)d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)P_\xi[t_1 < \tau_\xi^X \leq t_2]d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Then for $I_\epsilon = [t_1 - \epsilon, t_1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Delta_{I_\epsilon}^X(u) &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} -\frac{1}{2}\int_{I_\epsilon} u_x(X_t^-,t)dt = 0 = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)P_\xi[t_1 - \epsilon < \tau_\xi^X \leq t_1]d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi)P_\xi[\tau_\xi^X = t_1]d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Finally we conclude that

$$\Delta_I^X(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(\xi) P_\xi[t_1 \le \tau_\xi^X \le t_2] d\xi = F_h^X(I). \tag{4.31}$$

•

We use the following lemma extensively to prove **Proposition 1**:

**Lemma 3** $\int_0^t \tau^{\alpha_1}(t-\tau)^{\alpha_2} d\tau = \dfrac{\Gamma(1+\alpha_1)\Gamma(1+\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} t^{1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ *for* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -1$, *where* $\Gamma$ *is the gamma function.*

**Proof of Proposition 1**

Let $T_s > 0$. Since $|G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau)| = \left| \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-\tau)}} \left\{ -\dfrac{X_t - X_\tau}{t-\tau} \right\} \exp\left( -\dfrac{(X_t - X_\tau)^2}{2(t-\tau)} \right) \right| \le \dfrac{C_0}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}}$, we deduce that for $q_1, q_2 \in C([0, T_s])$, $0 < t \le T_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau)(q_1(\tau) - q_2(\tau)) d\tau \right| &\le \int_0^t \frac{C_0 \|q_1 - q_2\|_{T_s}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}} d\tau \\ &= C_1 t^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \|q_1 - q_2\|_{T_s} \le C_1 T_s^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \|q_1 - q_2\|_{T_s}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $F : C([0, T_s]) \to C([0, T_s])$ as, for $q \in C([0, T_s])$,

$$(Fq)(t) = -G_x(X_t, t; r_0, 0) + \int_0^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau \ \textit{for}\ t > 0, \tag{4.32}$$

and $(Fq)(0) = 0$. Since $\lim_{t \to 0} G_x(X_t, t; r_0, 0) = 0$, it is well-defined. If we choose $T_s$ such that $C_1 T_s^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} < 1$, then $F$ is a contraction mapping so that $F$ has a unique fixed point since $C([0, T_s])$ is a Banach space. Let's call this $p_{T_s}$.
Now we assume that we have $p_{T_s}$ for some $T_s > 0$. For $T^\star > T_s$, we define $H : C([T_s, T^\star]) \to C([T_s, T^\star])$ as, for $q \in C([T_s, T^\star])$,

$$(Hq)(t) = -G_x(X_t, t; r_0, 0) + \int_0^{T_s} G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau) p_{T_s}(\tau) d\tau + \int_{T_s}^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then for $q_1, q_2 \in C([T_s, T^\star])$, we have

$$\|Hq_1 - Hq_2\|_\infty \le C_2 (t - T_s)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \|q_1 - q_2\|_\infty \le C_2 (T^\star - T_s)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \|q_1 - q_2\|_\infty. \tag{4.33}$$

Similarly, if we choose $T^\star$ such that $C_2 (T^\star - T_s)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} < 1$, then $H$ is a contraction mapping so that $H$ has a unique fixed point since $C([T_s, T^\star])$ is a Banach space.
Therefore, if we have $p$ defined $[0, T_s]$, $p_{T_s}$, then we can extend this to time $T_s + C_3$ where $C_3$ is an independent constant. Thus if we repeat this step inductively, we have $p$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies (2.6).
We now prove that $p_n$ converges to $p$ in $C^0_{(\eta)}((0, T_s])$ for all sufficiently small $T_s > 0$. By (2.5),

$$p_n(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} h_n(\xi) G_x(X_t, t; \xi, 0) d\xi + \int_0^t G_x(X_t, t; X_\tau, \tau) p_n(\tau) d\tau. \tag{4.34}$$

For $0 < t \le T_s$, taking the difference between (2.6) and (4.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^{1-\eta}|p_n(t) - p(t)| &\le t^{1-\eta}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{0} h_n(\xi)[G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0) - G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)]d\xi\right| \\ &+ t^{1-\eta}\left|\int_0^t G_x(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)(p_n(\tau)-p(\tau))d\tau\right|. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{1-\eta}\left|\int_0^t G_x(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)(p_n(\tau)-p(\tau))d\tau\right| &\le C_0 t^{1-\eta}\int_0^t \frac{\|p_n - p\|_{T_s}^{(\eta)}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}\tau^{1-\eta}}d\tau \\ &= C_1 t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|p_n - p\|_{T_s}^{(\eta)} \le C_1 T_s^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|p_n - p\|_{T_s}^{(\eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $T_s > 0$ such that $C_1 T_s^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - C_1 T_s^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}})\|p_n - p\|_{T_s}^{(\eta)} &\le t^{1-\eta}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{0} h_n(\xi)[G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0) - G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)]d\xi\right|. \\ &\le \int_{-\infty}^{0} h_n(\xi) \sup_{\substack{0<t\le T_s \\ \xi\in[r_0-\frac{1}{n},r_0+\frac{1}{n}]}} t^{1-\eta}\left|G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0) - G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)\right| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

For all sufficiently large $n$, $0 < t \le \frac{1}{n}$ and $r_0 - \dfrac{1}{n} \le \xi \le r_0 + \dfrac{1}{n}$, there exists $C_2$ such that

$$|G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0)| = \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left\{-\frac{X_t-\xi}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right\}\exp\left(-\frac{(X_t-\xi)^2}{2t}\right)\right| \le C_2,$$

Thus

$$\sup_{\substack{0<t\le\frac{1}{n} \\ \xi\in[r_0-\frac{1}{n},r_0+\frac{1}{n}]}} t^{1-\eta}\left|G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0) - G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)\right| \le \sup_{0<t\le\frac{1}{n}} 2t^{1-\eta}C_2 \le \frac{2C_2}{n^{1-\eta}}.$$

For all sufficiently large $n$, $\frac{1}{n} < t \le T_s$ and $r_0 - \dfrac{1}{n} \le \xi \le r_0 + \dfrac{1}{n}$, since $|G_{xx}(\cdot,t;\cdot,0)| \le \dfrac{C_3}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}$, we have

$$|G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0) - G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)| \le \frac{C_3|\xi - r_0|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \le \frac{C_3}{nt^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

Thus

$$\sup_{\substack{\frac{1}{n}<t\le T_s \\ \xi\in[r_0-\frac{1}{n},r_0+\frac{1}{n}]}} t^{1-\eta}\left|G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0) - G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)\right| \le \sup_{\frac{1}{n}<t\le T_s} \frac{C_3}{nt^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}} \le \frac{C_3}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-\eta}}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $p_n$ converges to $p$ in $C^0_{(\eta)}((0,T_s])$ for all sufficiently small $T_s > 0$.

To extend from $T_s$ to $T^\star$, assuming that $p_n$ converges to $p$ in $C^0_{(\eta)}((0,T_s])$ for some $T_s>0$ and writing $\|p_n-p\|_{[T_s,T^\star]}=\sup_{\tau\in[T_s,T^\star]}|p_n(\tau)-p(\tau)|$, for $T_s\le t\le T^\star$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
|p_n(t)-p(t)| &\le \left|\int_{-\infty}^0 h_n(\xi)[G_x(X_t,t;\xi,0)-G_x(X_t,t;r_0,0)]d\xi\right| \\
&+ \left|\int_0^{T_s} G_x(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)(p_n(\tau)-p(\tau))d\tau\right| + \left|\int_{T_s}^t G_x(X_t,t;X_\tau,\tau)(p_n(\tau)-p(\tau))d\tau\right| \\
&\le C_3\int_{-\infty}^0 h_n(\xi)\frac{|\xi-r_0|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}d\xi + C_0\int_0^{T_s}\frac{\|p_n-p\|^{(\eta)}_{T_s}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}\tau^{1-\eta}}d\tau + C_0\int_{T_s}^t\frac{\|p_n-p\|_{[T_s,T^\star]}}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}}d\tau \\
&\le \frac{C_3}{nt^{\frac{3}{2}}} + C_0\int_0^{T_s}\frac{\|p_n-p\|^{(\eta)}_{T_s}}{(T_s-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}\tau^{1-\eta}}d\tau + C_4\|p_n-p\|_{[T_s,T^\star]}(t-T_s)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \frac{C_3}{nT_s^{\frac{3}{2}}} + C_1T_s^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}+\eta}\|p_n-p\|^{(\eta)}_{T_s} + C_4\|p_n-p\|_{[T_s,T^\star]}(T^\star-T_s)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $T^\star>T_s$ such that $C_4(T^\star-T_s)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}<1$, then we have

$$(1-C_4(T^\star-T_s)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}})\|p_n-p\|_{[T_s,T^\star]}\le\frac{C_3}{nT_s^{\frac{3}{2}}}+C_1T_s^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}+\eta}\|p_n-p\|^{(\eta)}_{T_s}.$$

The right term vanishes when $n$ goes to $\infty$ so that $p_n$ converges to $p$ in $C^0_{(\eta)}((0,T_s+C_5])$ for some independent constant $C_5>0$. By repeating this argument inductively, it follows that $p_n$ converges to $p$ in $C^0_{(\eta)}((0,T])$. •

Hence we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^0 h_n(\xi)P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)d\xi=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_I p_n(t)dt=\int_I p(t)dt.$$

For $I=[0,t]\subset[0,T]$, $P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)$ is an increasing function of $\xi$, so if we choose $h_n$ so that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{r_0}^{r_0+\frac{1}{n}}h_n(\xi)d\xi=1$, we get

$$\lim_{\xi\to r_0^+}P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)\le\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{r_0}^{r_0+\frac{1}{n}}h_n(\xi)P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)d\xi=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^0 h_n(\xi)P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)d\xi \quad (4.35)$$

Similarly, if we choose $h_n$ so that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{r_0-\frac{1}{n}}^{r_0}h_n(\xi)d\xi=1$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^0 h_n(\xi)P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)d\xi=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{r_0-\frac{1}{n}}^{r_0}h_n(\xi)P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I)d\xi\le\lim_{\xi\to r_0^-}P_\xi(\tau_\xi^X\in I). \quad (4.36)$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$F^X_{r_0}([0,t])=P_{r_0}(\tau^X_{r_0}\le t)=\int_0^t p(\tau)d\tau, \quad (4.37)$$

which implies that $p$ is the density function of $F^X_{r_0}$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

Items 1 and 2 of **Theorem 1** were proved in the previous sections. By **Theorem 2** and the properties of the Gaussian kernel, $G^X_{0,t}(r_0, x)$ solves (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6). Hence $G^X$ is the Green function of the heat equation with Dirichlet boundary condition which implies item 4 of **Theorem 1**. Furthermore, $G^X_{0,t}(r_0, x)$ can be written as

$$G^X_{0,t}(r_0, x) = G_{0,t}(r_0, x) - \int_0^t G_{\tau,t}(X_\tau, x)p(\tau)d\tau. \tag{5.38}$$

Differentiating with respect to x, applying the jump relation and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}G^X_{0,t}(r_0, x)\Big|_{x=X_t^-} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}G_{0,t}(r_0, X_t) - p(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}G_{\tau,t}(X_\tau, X_t)p(\tau)d\tau & (5.39)\\ &= -2p(t). & (5.40)\end{aligned}$$

Thus $p(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}G^X_{0,t}(r_0, x)\Big|_{x=X_t^-}$, so it proves item 3 of **Theorem 1**.

## References


[1] L. M. Ricciardi; L. Sacerdote; S. Sato: *On an Integral Equation for First-Passage-Time Probability Densities*, Journal of Applied Probability, **Vol. 21, No. 2.** 302–314 (1984).

[2] J.R. Cannon: *The One-Dimensional Heat Equation*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company (1984).

[3] Ioannis Karatzas, Steve E.Shreve: *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer (1991).

[4] G. Peskir, A. Shiryaev: *Optimal Stopping and Free-Boundary Problems*, Birkhäuser (2006).

[5] A. Fasano, *Mathematical models of some diffusive processes with free boundaries*, SIMAI e-Lecture Notes (2008).

[6] T. Taillefumier, M. Magnasco: *A Transition to Sharp Timing in Stochastic Leaky Integrate-and-Fire Neurons Driven by Frozen Noisy Input*, Neural Computation **Vol. 26, No. 5.** 819–859 (2014).

[7] L. Alili, P. Patie: *Boundary crossing identities for Brownian motion and some nonlinear ode's*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** , 3811–3824 (2014).

[8] G. Carinci, A. De Masi, C. Giardinà, E. Presutti: *Free Boundary Problems in PDEs and Particle Systems*, Springer (2016).